

THE TRUTH ABOUT FLYING SAUCERS



INTERPLANETARY INTELLIGENCE REPORT

Vol. 1, No. 2

July, 1965

Price 50c

The Interplanetary Intelligence Report (IIR), is published bi-monthly by the Interplanetary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects, (IIOUFO), International Headquarters located at 3005 West ubanks, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Subscription rate: 6 issues, \$3.00 or \$.50 per copy.

Here's What McDivitt Saw

See story Page 13, 14 and 15

CONTENTS

	Page
Board of Directors and Representatives	2
Statement of Policy	2
Editorial by Hayden Hewes	3
From the Desk of	
W. F. Riefer - Senior Director	4
D. V. Critchfield - Associate Director	5
H. D. Crawford - Art Director	5
General News	5
J. W. Maney - Deputy Director	6
V. C. Johnston - Secretary	7
Project Bluebook	8
Air Force UFO Censorship?	9
"Orbit" article	10-11
Flying Saucers Called Real	11
"Anatomy of a Phenomenon" (Book Review)	12
"UFO's" Watch GT-4	13
GT-4 Photograph and Data Information	14-15
Kirkpatrick Planetarium	15
UFO Sightings	16
French Landing?	16-17
Terra Space Explorations (Mariner 4)	18
Civilian UFO Research	19
Two UFO's Photographed	20



Information appearing in this report may be used providing credit is properly given to the IIR of the IIOUFO.

IIOUFO Board of Directors

W. F. Riefer Senior Director
H. C. Hewes Director and Editor
D. V. Critchfield .. Associate Director
J. W. Maney Deputy Director
V. C. Johnston Secretary
H. D. Crawford Art Director
A. C. Roberts Photographer

Photo Analysis

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio
Eastman Kodak, Chicago

Scientific Panel

Major C. W. Dutreaun - Director
Civil Defense, Oklahoma City
Mr. W. M. Greene - Astrogeologist,
Huntsville, Alabama
Mr. J. Gumm - Photography, Oklahoma City
Mr. F. Halstead - former curator, Darling Observatory, Minnesota
Mr. D. Johnson - Assistant Planetarium Director, Kirkpatrick Planetarium, Oklahoma City.
Prof. N. N. Kohanowski - Geologist and Mining Engineer, North Dakota
Mr. C. King - Photography, Oklahoma City
Dr. Askold Ladonko - Psychology, Caracas, Venezuela
Dr. F. B. Salisbury - Plant Physiology, Colorado State University

Foreign Correspondents

Argentina	Germany	Polynasia
Asia	Holland	Porto Rico
Australia	Italy	Scotland
Bahamas	Indonesia	South Africa
Belgique	Japan	Switzerland
Brazil	Korea	Sweden
Canada	Kenya	Venezuela
Colombia	Mexico	Turkey
Denmark	New Zealand	
England	Phillippines	

STATE DIRECTORS

A list of all IIOUFO State Directors will appear in the September issue of the IIR. We need Directors for the following states. Alaska, Louisiana, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Carolina, North Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont.

The duties of State Directors are to report UFO activity in their state. They will receive the IIR free and be supplied with IIOUFO stationary.

IIOUFO Research Laboratory is located at 3300 N. Bryant, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

East Coast Headquarters - 6464 34 Ave. N., St. Petersburg, Florida, Mrs. Joan Whritenout, Director.

IIOUFO

We, the Board of Directors of the IIOUFO in order to determine if UFO's represent a threat to the security of the planet earth and or alien interplanetary space vehicles, do ordain and establish the IIOUFO for the scientific investigation of said purpose. The IIOUFO UFO program is carried out in three phases. 1. The detection, investigation and reporting. This is carried out by IIOUFO members in the nine different districts. 2. The second phase includes analysis and evaluation. This is carried out by IIOUFO's Scientific Panel, 3. The third phase includes dissemination of information. This is carried out through the Board of Directors.

Membership in the IIOUFO is FREE and open to all interested persons. The IIOUFO is working with all organizations and persons interested in solving the UFO mystery. Our files are open for review by all news medias, researchers, and interested persons.

The IIOUFO neither endorses or rejects the claims of any so-called contactees. We investigate with an open mind.

In the eight years IIOUFO has existed our investigations of UFO sightings show no evidence that UFO's represent a threat to the security of the planet earth or that they are interplanetary spaceships.

Tuesday, January 12, 1965 OKLAHOMA CITY TIMES

Hayden Hewes to Speak at Meeting of Delta Theta Chi

INFORMATION INVITED

If you have any questions about the IIOUFO, would like to become a representative, or report a UFO sighting, feel free to get in touch with us. All manuscripts, articles, photos, etc., cannot be returned unless the proper postage accompanies the same. We reserve the right to publish all information we receive unless otherwise stated.

EDITORIAL

This is our second issue of THE INTERPLANETARY INTELLIGENCE REPORT, and we have received a good many number of suggestions which have resulted in the immediate improvement in your favorite UFO publication. Let it be known here and now, that you will receive 100% factual information. We will in the IIR state our Civilian investigation side of the case in question and also the results of the Air Force investigations as we did on the Brooksville landing. As promised in Vol. 1 No. 1, of the IIR page 8 carries photographs received from the Air Force of the landing site, aliens footprints and two pieces of paper that were left behind.

I wish that we could publish the IIR each and every month, but at the present time this is impossible. Maybe in the future we can.

We will always be trying to improve the IIR. As you can see by issue 2, we have increased from 6 to 20 pages, improved the quality of paper we use as well as the photograph reproduction. Our first issue was more or less a "hello" issue to get better acquainted with our members and correspondents.

NEWCOMERS

For all of our new readers that are unfamiliar with the IIOUFO we were organized in August, 1958 for the scientific investigation of unidentified flying objects, (UFO's) called and known the world over as 'flying saucers'. We have over 5,000 members and correspondents located throughout

our nine Districts and twenty-eight foreign countries.

Interplanetary Intelligence Report

The IIR is published bi-monthly for all interested persons at a subscription rate of \$3.00 per year ppd. If you are a member of another UFO research organization and would like mention made of your work and investigations we have a special section for you entitled CIVILIAN UFO RESEARCH. We would like to exchange the IIR with all other UFO publications. A list of all UFO Organizations, UFO Publications and active researchers will be published in the future for all interested persons. If you have a UFO organization, know of a new one just getting started, publish a publication, want your name on our UFO research list, just drop us a line and you will be added.

IIOUFO HISTORY

I first conceived the idea for a UFO research organization in November, 1957. Nine months later, we were officially organized and known as the Interplanetary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects (IIOUFO). Our investigations over the years led us by special authorization from the Pentagon to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio last September 8, to see and question the AF files on their investigations into over 9,000 UFO reports since 1947. My trip to Wright-Patterson is described in the April issue of FLYING SAUCERS. Additional comments can be found on page 9.

Since my trip to Wright-Patterson AFB, the IIOUFO has become the fastest growing UFO organization in the world. Due to our large membership and the fact that the general public is wanting to know more about IIOUFO's investigations and UFO news in general, the Interplanetary Intelligence Report began its first issue May, 1965. Membership is free and open to all that are willing to approach the subject with an open mind.

Hayden Hewes

FROM THE DESK OF -----

W. F. RIEFER —

Senior Director

Like many of you, I too, was interested in the scientific investigation of unidentified flying objects by personal acquaintances and news articles.

Almost any one of you approach something about UFO's, but not as much as they would like to. For some one unfamiliar with the subject, the clipping below would perpose a question. To those familiar with the subject, that question would add one more file to the UFO mystery.

No matter what side of the UFO mystery you are on it is indeed an interesting subject to investigate. To many persons it is easier to ridicule than investigate, but to those that approach the subject with an open mind, the scientific investigation is the only way.

To learn all I could about this unusual subject, the Interplanetary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects was organized. Since its official

organization in 1958 it has grown into one of the largest and most scientific UFO research organizations in the world. Membership free and open to all.

For those that are interested in UFOlogy, the IIOUFO is the organization for you. The IIR will separate fact from fiction.

Due to the overwhelming response to the first issue of the IIR, if a reply to your letter has been delayed please accept our apologies. A reply to every letter directed to the IIOUFO will be forthcoming.

I will welcome your opinion and questions on this subject and talk with anyone who thinks they might have a solution to this strange mystery.

Story Page 13, 14 and 15.

PATERSON, N. J., SATURDAY, JUNE 5, 1965

Gemini Has Company

Weird Object In Space Sighted By Astronaut

Courtesy A. C. Roberts

D. V. Critchfield —
Associate Director

in charge of public relations and head Oklahoma City Photo laboratory.

It is with a great deal of pleasure that we announce that D. V. Critchfield is now the Associate Director of the IIOUFO. In charge of public relations and head of the Oklahoma City photo laboratory. Almost all photo work of the IIR is carried out by DeWayne. Working with A. C. Roberts, Wayne, N. J. you will in future issues of the IIR see many UFO photographs and well connected events.

H. D. Crawford —
Art Director

The second addition to the IIOUFO staff is H. D. Crawford who is Art Editor. Hal has been interested in UFO's for the past five years. Besides keeping up to date he attends UFO lectures in and around the Oklahoma City area. With four years of space art behind him, Hal is an outstanding artist in the field of space drawings and illustrations. It is a pleasure to welcome Hal to the staff of the IIOUFO. You will be seeing many of his works in the IIR.



General News

COMING IN THE IIR

GENERAL NEWS

See and read about IIOUFO's new International Headquarters to be built near Oklahoma City at a cost of approximately \$100,000. The building will include office space, small observatory and lecture area for 1,000.

Come to the Oklahoma State Fair and see IIOUFO's exhibit. Fair showing September 25 to October 3, Oklahoma State Fair grounds. 800,000 are expected.

NOTICE IIOUFO ACTIVITIES....

Everyone is invited to the UFO lectures at the New Age Center at 8:00 p.m. on Friday, July 23, and Saturday, July 24, 1965 by Hayden Hewes, Director IIOUFO - located at 145 N.E. 14, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Don't forget to read the April issue of FLYING SAUCERS, pages 6-15 for an account of IIOUFO at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. A copy of our Constitution can be found on pages 76-78 and 80-83, which will help answer any questions you may have about the IIOUFO. FLYING SAUCERS, Amherst, Wisconsin.

Watch for information about IIOUFO to appear soon in FATE.

NEW BOOKS....

ANATOMY OF A PHENOMENON.
By Jacques Vallee (see review page 12), Henry Regnery Company, 114 W. Illinois St. Chicago, Illinois \$4.95. 210pp.

FLYING SAUCERS: NUMERICAL DESIGNS by Kenneth Larson, 450 South Kentmore Ave., Los Angeles, California, \$2.50, 190 pp 50 drawings. UFO analysis, mathematics.

THE REALITY OF UFOS, THEIR HOPE. By Michael J. Campione 2202 New Albany Rd., Cinnaminson, N.J. \$1.00 9 pages.

SPECIAL for all IIR readers: 8X10 \$.50, 5X7 \$.25. Send negative of your favorite black and white photo to PHOTO SERVICE, 1157 N.W. 89th, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

J. W. Maney

Deputy Director

In my last article, I stated no one had as yet proved the impossibility of the interplanetary origin of the Unidentified Flying Object. Yet there is, to be perfectly honest, a great weight of argument against this theory. I should like to devote this column to a discussion of the difficulties of the interplanetary hypothesis.

To postulate this theory, one naturally assumes that UFO's are operated, controlled, or piloted by sentient or thinking beings. Yet the hard fact remains that the existence of sentient life on any other planet has yet to be proven. The probability of life on Mercury or on any trans-Martian planet is so slight as to not merit present consideration. The existence of sentient life on Venus is possible, but not probably; moreover, such beings, covered by a perpetual thick overcast, would almost certainly lack the curiosity about the stellar universe that has driven men ever upward since the days of the Icarus legend. Such beings could readily attain technological advance equal to ours in its general level, but be unaware of the existence of the stellar universe.

Mars presents quite a different problem; in September, 1959 based on thirty minutes research, I was able to write a five-page letter to the editorial staff of a national magazine on the riddle of Mars — the literature and evidence is that extensive. I do not have here the time or space to recount this data: but enough evidence has accumulated, especially in the past century, to make the possibility of sentient Martian life something that cannot be ruled out a priori. There is the great mystery of canals (an inaccurate translation of the cavalli or "channels"). Such great of Mars study as Percival Lowell and E. C. Slipher swore they had seen the canals. Others of equal repute deny

their existence. Both Marconi and Tesla believed they had received intelligent radio messages from the Red Planet. Mars' two satellites, Phobos and Deimos were discovered by Asaph Hall in 1877, as though they had never existed before; in 1959, D. S. Shklovsky, a soviet astronomer, stated that they were decaying in their orbits as de artificial satellites. Mars' elliptical orbit causes it to be nearest to Earth when it is in "apposition" — about every two years. At several of the appositions, UFO sightings have increased noticeably. Yet at others, including the very close apposition of 1956, no increase in sightings was noted. Mars is universally accepted today as being the home of vegetative life. Yet it could also harbor sentient life if one supposes such life to be vastly different from that on Earth. due to Mars' climate, its shortage of water and its lack of oxygen. Perhaps the July pass of Mariner IV will give further evidence on this matter.

The problems in postulating the origin of the UFO on a planet and outside the solar system, are, to the incredible distances involved, seemingly insurmountable. Such beings would have had to have mastered propulsion systems far beyond anything we can project today. They would either have to live in colonies with a complete reproductive cycle or else have life spans far beyond ours. Thus communication problems would be great.

An argument for the overcoming of every one of these obstacles can be made. I do not believe this to be a prima facie case against interplanetary UFO's. But it is well to give these problems serious thought before committing oneself to the interplanetary theory irrevocably.

See September issue of IIR for information received from Mariner IV. See page 18.

V.C. Johnston

Secretary

Here are a couple of ideas I would like to put forth as to why UFO's sometimes fail to appear on radar screens, though visual sightings are made of the same objects. The first deals with plasma or ionization, the second phasing.

As you will recall during the Mercury program, communication with the capsules was blacked out during re-entry due to the layer of ions surrounding the craft during the period of maximum heat. This layer of ions acted as a shield, preventing the passage of radio waves. An ion, or plasma field of this strength would of course, show up on radar.

Now, let us consider a condition where the air surrounding an object is not so heavily ionized. In this case we will assume that the occupants of a craft are able to vary the strength of the ion layer at will. By adjusting the field to a low intensity, radio waves (radar waves are nothing more than radio waves pulsed at regular intervals) would be absorbed rather than reflected. If they do manage to penetrate the ion layer, strike the target, and exit they would be so attenuated that they would be so weak as to be below the threshold sensitivity of the radar scanning the object in question.

Now, let us regard phasing. A radar set "sees" objects by emitting short pulses of very short radio waves, which strike and are reflected from whatever objects (such as aircraft, buildings, missiles, thunderstorms, etc.) happen to be in the beam's path. The reflected waves are picked up by the same antenna that does the transmitting. The reflected waves are then

fed into a sensitive receiver, then displayed as a luminous spot on a screen. The number of spots, or pips as they are called, corresponds with the number of objects being scanned by the radar set. The farther an object is from the radar set, the longer it takes the reflected waves to return, thus the closer an object is, the closer it is to the center of the screen while the farther an object gets the closer to the edge of the screen the pip appears (this is for PPI scopes). That, in a nutshell, is how radar works.

Now, as previously mentioned, short pulses of very short radio waves are emitted by the radar antenna. While the pulses are only a few hundred per second, at very precise intervals, the radio frequencies are in the order of thousands of millions of cycles per second. A cycle is where a wave starts at zero intensity, increases its strength in one direction until it reaches a peak, falls back to zero and swings to a peak in the opposite direction, then back to zero. Now, let us assume again if we may, that as a radar beam strikes a UFO, the UFO immediately starts emitting radar pulses of its own, keeping in exact step with the pulses of the radar scanning it. But with this difference: the radio frequency waves are of opposite polarity from those of being reflected off the UFO, and precisely at the right intensity. Thus they would cancel each other, and nothing would be seen in the screen at that particular spot.

Regretfully, I do not have the facilities for checking the two above mentioned theories experimentally. I would appreciate hearing from electronics engineers and physicists on this matter.

$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} = \frac{7}{10}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{2}{3}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{7} = \frac{9}{14}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{10}{9}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{6}{5}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{11} = \frac{12}{11}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{7}{6}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{13} = \frac{15}{13}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{14} = \frac{11}{7}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{15} = \frac{17}{15}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{9}{8}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{17} = \frac{19}{17}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{18} = \frac{10}{9}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{19} = \frac{20}{19}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{21}{10}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{21} = \frac{23}{21}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{22} = \frac{25}{22}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{23} = \frac{26}{23}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24} = \frac{13}{12}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{25} = \frac{27}{25}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{26} = \frac{29}{26}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{27} = \frac{28}{27}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{28} = \frac{15}{14}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{29} = \frac{30}{29}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{30} = \frac{31}{15}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{31} = \frac{32}{31}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{32} = \frac{17}{16}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{33} = \frac{34}{33}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{34} = \frac{35}{34}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{35} = \frac{37}{35}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{36} = \frac{19}{18}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{37} = \frac{38}{37}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{38} = \frac{39}{38}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{39} = \frac{40}{39}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{40} = \frac{21}{20}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{41} = \frac{42}{41}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{42} = \frac{23}{21}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{43} = \frac{44}{43}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{44} = \frac{25}{22}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{45} = \frac{46}{45}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{46} = \frac{47}{46}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{47} = \frac{48}{47}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{48} = \frac{25}{24}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{49} = \frac{50}{49}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{50} = \frac{26}{25}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{51} = \frac{52}{51}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{52} = \frac{27}{26}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{53} = \frac{54}{53}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{54} = \frac{29}{27}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{55} = \frac{56}{55}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{56} = \frac{31}{28}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{57} = \frac{58}{57}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{58} = \frac{33}{29}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{59} = \frac{60}{59}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{60} = \frac{32}{15}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{61} = \frac{62}{61}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{62} = \frac{35}{31}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{63} = \frac{64}{63}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{64} = \frac{33}{32}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{65} = \frac{66}{65}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{66} = \frac{37}{33}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{67} = \frac{68}{67}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{68} = \frac{39}{34}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{69} = \frac{70}{69}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{70} = \frac{35}{21}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{71} = \frac{72}{71}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{72} = \frac{37}{36}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{73} = \frac{74}{73}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{74} = \frac{39}{37}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{75} = \frac{76}{75}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{76} = \frac{41}{38}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{77} = \frac{78}{77}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{78} = \frac{43}{39}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{79} = \frac{80}{79}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{80} = \frac{41}{20}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{81} = \frac{82}{81}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{82} = \frac{43}{41}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{83} = \frac{84}{83}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{84} = \frac{45}{42}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{85} = \frac{86}{85}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{86} = \frac{47}{43}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{87} = \frac{88}{87}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{88} = \frac{49}{44}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{89} = \frac{90}{89}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{90} = \frac{50}{45}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{91} = \frac{92}{91}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{92} = \frac{51}{46}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{93} = \frac{94}{93}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{94} = \frac{53}{47}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{95} = \frac{96}{95}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{96} = \frac{55}{48}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{97} = \frac{98}{97}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{98} = \frac{57}{49}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{99} = \frac{100}{99}$
 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{100} = \frac{51}{50}$

On page three we carried a breakdown of UFO sightings from 1947-1964. So far this year the Air Force has investigated 176 UFO reports as follows:

January	40
February	38
March	32
April	35
May	31

Photo Credit "Official AF Photos.



Obtained from 1965 Project Blue
Book released by SAFOI, Washington,
D. C.

AF-UFO REPORT ORDERED DESTROYED

Evidence that the Air Force destroys some official UFO reports has been given to Prof. Charles A. Manney, NICAP Board Member, by an AF source. The photocopy below reproduces the top part of an Air Intelligence Information Report, covering a UFO sighting by two AF officers. Though the report is not unusual, the "Destroy" order--which the source said was not uncommon--indicates a form of censorship previously unknown to NICAP.


[illegible]

Key points of sighting:
AF witnesses: Capt. J. L. Pollack, USAF, Flight Commander, 55th Fighter Bomber Squadron; Lt. J.S. Beishalm, USAF, Squadron Armament Officer.
Summary: Two glowing objects were sighted near the squadron base at Little Saxton, Essex, England, 9 Oct. 1956. Larger UFO was the size of a pea held at arm's length and about half the size of the moon, similarly measured. Object pulsated, emitting five or six rays, with smaller rays between. At times, a longer, broader ray appeared, possibly a trail or exhaust. Second object, smaller, deaccelerated, approached the first one, then disappeared. Flight Commander Pollack was "extremely reliable." by the Squadron Intelligence Officer, First Lieut. D.W. Ketchum, USAF.
As stated above, it is the "Destroy" order, not this sighting, which is important. The destruction of similar, officially verified reports will reduce the number of AF witnesses and tend to make the public believe that the sightings are for the purpose, deletion of such UFO records can only result in misinforming the public.

The January issue of TRUE magazine carried an article entitled "U. S. Air Force Censorship of the UFO Sightings". The article stated the UFO's hit the front pages 15 years ago and have been with us since (which we agree), in spite of a massive Air Force blackout on facts. The article goes on to tell of the sightings on radar of four spacecraft accompanying the GT-1 launch of April 8, 1964, and this information was kept from the public. The following information was received from the AF by the Chief of Mission Support, Apollo Flight operations, NASA, Washington, dated January 18, 1965.

Objects observed which were detected on radar, have been identified as minor structural pieces which routinely break free from expendable

As an Air Force UFO Censorship of information, the IIOUFO would have to say "No". We have received no censorship of information. If there is a censorship why was I permitted by the Pentagon to visit Wright-Patterson AFB, to see and question the AF Project Blue Book files? All questions that I have ever asked have been answered as well as all files I have requested I have received (both while I was at Wright-Patterson and since my return to Oklahoma City). The photo below shows an Air Force file we requested on their investigations into the 4 December 1952 Laredo AFB, Texas sighting which is "Unidentified". This is one of several on file at IIOUFO headquarters. If there is a censorship of information it is not to the degree that many research claim. It is suggested that if you have a copy of AF regulation 200-2 to go back and read it carefully.



The article below appeared in the Sunday Oklahoman, April 18, 1965. It was published by the Oklahoma Publishing Company, with a circulation of more than 303,000. Since all back issues were sold, we have reproduced it as it appeared. Written by request for OPUBCO by Hayden Hewes.

"Flying Saucers called Real, Explanations Pure Fiction" was in the Oklahoma City Times on Friday, June 26, 1959. It was in the form of a response to an article that explained the existence of flying saucers as exploding comets.



This startling photograph was selected from among a series of shots credited to Apolinario Villa, who reports he took them on June 16, 1963, near Albuquerque.



Schinichi Tokada snapped this saucer one noon near Frijoles City, Japan.

Flying Saucer Mystery Still Unsolved

BY HAYDEN C. HEWES, Associate Director, IOUFO



Hayden C. Hewes

Today, as we explore outer space, leading astronomers agree that billions of other planets exist—and that some may have civilizations further advanced than our own.

Many people consider the phenomenon of unidentified flying objects (UFO's), commonly called "flying saucers," to be a manifestation of these other civilizations. Others, with equal sincerity, contend that the explanation for UFO's lies in the realm of the natural sciences.

The whole debate centers around the degree and type of proof needed to establish—with certainty—either of these answers.

What is a UFO? According to air force regulation 200-2, pertaining to unidentified flying objects, it is any airborne object which by performance, aerodynamic characteristics or unusual features does not conform to any known aircraft.

The most common characteristic of a UFO is a "saucer" shape. Considering that all variations have been reported, worldwide, since man started recording history, it is not unusual to read about "a large, round, silver-like disc which flew slowly over" the town of Byland Abbey in Yorkshire, England. What is unusual is that this happened in 1290 A.D.

Where do UFO's come from? Why are they here? Colonization? Attack? Reconnaissance from a planet many light years away? Or could they be manifestations of a subterranean race here on Earth?

One afternoon several years ago, I noticed an article which was to be the beginning of one of the strangest activities I would ever encounter: "Strange Light Flashes

Across California Sky." A few months later, I noticed an article entitled "Mystery Planes Over Gulf." In the following weeks and months, I noticed still other articles such as "Air Force Admits Canadian Light Real Mystery" (11-5-57), "Texas See Mystery Object" (11-6-57), "Two Illinois Troopers Spot Flying Whizz" (8-9-58), "Saw Objects Hover Over Alamogordo," "Huge Object in Minnesota Sky Sighted," "Bright Object Spotted Over Nevada," and "Wyoming Sees 'Em. More Flying Saucers' (4-10-64). These were but a few of many which came to my attention.

In August, 1958, with the help of William F. Rarier, we organized Interplanetary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects (IOUFO) for the purpose of scientific investigation of these strange objects. International headquarters is at 3035 W. Eubank, Oklahoma City. Eight years later, we have well over 4,500 members worldwide, with state offices in almost all the 50 states. An official publication, "The Controversial Phenomenon Bulletin," is published in Massachusetts bi-monthly.

All in all, there are over 100 civilian UFO organizations. There are located in Oklahoma City. One of them, the New Age Center, sponsors UFO lectures. The IOUFO is working hand in hand with the International Aerospace Phenomena Researchers, also located in Oklahoma City.

Last September, by special authorization from the Pentagon, I visited the air force UFO investigation headquarters at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio. Between 1947 and the beginning 1965, the

U.S. Air Force (Project Bluebird) investigated 8,900 reported sightings. Of these, 665 are listed as "Unknown."

According to the January, 1965, Project Bluebird report, "There are no films, photographs, maps, charts or graphs of unidentified flying objects. The only photographs that have been submitted have been determined to be a misinterpretation of natural or conventional objects or hoaxes."

The files of the IOUFO show that Oklahoma has been visited by these strange objects on many occasions—and photographed at least once:

January, 1924 — A white, oval-shaped object lit up the ground before moving out of sight over the horizon.

June 25, 1947 — A shiny, silver, round object, very large and very high, was reported traveling in an easterly direction at approximately 1,200 miles per hour. This was at 8:30 p.m. At 10:30 a.m., two UFO's were reported over Oklahoma City. Pilot Byron Savage reported sighting a disc that sailed over the city and out of sight.

Early 1950 — A brilliant object was sighted in the sky about 3:30 a.m. by two Edmund police officers. It was described as "white with a long, white tail."

In 1953 — Mrs. Hugh Ellis, Midwest City, reported a small, bright disc moving parallel to the ground at a high speed.

June 30, 1953 — A highway patrol dispatcher saw something that looked like a

Sunday, April 18, 1965

Flying Saucers Called Real, Explanations Pure Fiction

EDITOR OF THE TIMES:

I refer to the article published in the Oklahoma City Times of June 19 on Page 18, headlined "Saucers Explained," with a subhead "Exploding Comet Is Cited."

This article is the worst bit of hogwash pertaining to UFO's I have ever seen, and I have seen some. I will now pick this article into so many pieces it will be eligible for classification as a jagged puzzle.

First, what does a weather researcher (meteorologist) know about astronomy? Being a meteorologist does not make him an expert on saucers. The study of saucers is known as meteoritics.

None of the chemistry books I have consulted makes any mention of nitrogen burning green. The

only substances I know of that burn green are copper and sulphur. Besides, it is presuming on fact to assume that comets have nitrogen in them.

I am writing Mr. Robey, who propounded this hypothesis, asking him that question, and daring him to prove the existence of ice in comets.

Another hole in the story is this: what comet gives off this silvery? The type of objects Robey tries to explain are known as "green fireballs," such objects have been reported continuously since 1944, when they were seen over Scandinavia and called "steel rockets."

The "saucers" of them in the United States started in 1948, over Arizona, New Mexico, Texas and southern California.

Mr. Robey's claim of a 300-mile-per-hour speed

for the "green fireballs" is absolutely ridiculous. The U. S. Air Force set up a special project known as "Project Tetrahedron" to study these objects. "Tetrahedron" consisted of three planned cine-theodolite stations to triangulate on these objects, and secure as much information on them as possible.

Due to limited USAF budget, they established only one, at Indianola AFB, New Mexico. There they saw nothing, but when the rig was moved to Vandalia, N. M., they saw several, and tracked on at 42,000 miles per hour.

I will not attempt to explain the workings of a cine-theodolite, but anyone familiar with such instruments knows that its accuracy is beyond question. Mr. Robey claims that the green glow given off by these objects is due to the friction of the atmosphere. But, then he states that the hovering might be caused by "auxiliary jets" of nitrogen which glow down and along the "cometoid." If the movement of the "cometoid" was stopped, then you would have no friction; hence, no glow.

I am deputy director of the Interplanetary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects, a group which studies these phenomena with a goal of determining the nature of these objects.

IOUFO does not, as a club believe that UFO's come from outer space; we are open-minded, and will accept any theory which is backed up by facts. Personally, I believe that UFO's, or "flying saucers," are intelligently-controlled craft with a base of origin on another planet in our solar system.—JAMES MANLEY, CH.

Photo by George Wilson

Color photo courtesy of Anthropometed Flying Saucer Club of America, Inc., Los Angeles



Dean Morgan got this intriguing shot near Red Bud, Ill., in 1950.

Late 1958 — Highway patrolman in southwest Oklahoma reported a strange object in sky.

Feb. 20, 1959 — Four lights were sighted by many Oklahoma City residents, including a radio-TV newsmen. Objects were reported over Duncan minutes later.

April, 1959 — Otis T. Carr attempted to launch an "electro-gravitational" flying saucer. The OTC-X1 was six feet in diameter, four feet high, weighed about 600 pounds and cost over \$25,000. It never got off the ground.

May 26, 1959 — An object described as "shaped like a lightbulb, but much bigger," was sighted at 8 p.m. over the Arkansas-Oklahoma border.

July 29, 1960 — A 14-year-old boy reported a "saucer" over Oklahoma City.

Aug. 31, 1960 — Deputy Director James Manley of IOUFO and at least two other persons spotted object over Oklahoma City.

Aug. 3, 1964 — Countryside near Hugo lighted up when a UFO appeared overhead. This was seen by many persons.

September, 1964 — UFO sighted over Oklahoma City at 10,000 feet. It was silver in color. This was only known UFO to be photographed over Oklahoma. Picture was taken by D. Birmingham.

Sept. 9, 1964 — Red object sighted traveling west to east over Oklahoma City at approximately 10,000 feet.

Sept. 16, 1964 — Car accident hit by "flying saucer" while enroute to Ponca City.

Oct. 26, 1964 — A blue-white, round flying object with a ring of fire around it was reported to El Reno police at 3 p.m.

Nov. 6, 1964 — A round UFO was sighted over southwestern Oklahoma City by many persons. It landed and was recovered by Rick Barner. After an intense investigation by the Kirtland Field Personnel and the IOUFO, the meteorite was identified as "amorphous metallic," the second of its kind ever recovered.

Feb. 2, 1965 — An object seen hovering high over the northeastern part of Oklahoma City at 7:30 a.m. was described as a silver ball, appearing about the size of a pool ball at arm's length.

I have never sighted a "flying saucer." They have been sighted, however, by air force and civilian pilots and navigators; by army, navy and marine corps personnel; by aviation experts, by scientists and engineers, as well as by ordinary citizens.


Motion pictures and still photographs have been taken of UFO's. They have been tracked on radar, charted by jets, and observed by as many as a thousand witnesses at a time.

What are they? Where do they come from? Time will tell. When I first started investigating UFO reports, I wondered if "flying saucers" were real. But, piece by piece, the evidence has crystallized until now I have no doubt as to their existence.

To me, the available evidence indicates an "intelligence" behind their control. The belief that their control is interplanetary, and that the earth has been and is under surveillance, is no longer incredible.

OKLAHOMA'S ORBIT


Sunday, April 18, 1965




It was on July 29, 1952, that George Stout focused his camera on this strange object in New Jersey sky.



Camerasman on International Geophysical Year visual tour, took this photo of Trinidad, Brazil, in 1965.



These strange, circular objects are in photograph taken by U.S. Coast Guard at Salem, Mass. (1952).



Otis T. Carr's flying saucer, the X-1, constructed at Oklahoma City in 1959, never got off the ground.

OKLAHOMA'S ORBIT

ANATOMY OF A PHENOMENON

Review of Jacques Vallee, "Anatomy of a Phenomenon." By James Maney.

Of the many books on the subject of the UFO, only a few have been of a level to be considered "scientific" or "scholarly": the most important of these are the two works of the great French ufologist, Aime Michel, "The Turth About Flying Saucers", and "Flying Saucers and the Straight-Line Mystery". In a sense, Jacques Vallee has written Aime Michel's third book.

Vallee's book briefly reviews the history of the UFO phenomenon; probably every sighting of importance found in Ruppelt, Michel, Keyhoe, et alii, is repeated in these pages, along with a discussion of pre-1947 UFO reports. There are some reports here that are new to this reviewer. One of the most interesting involves the Kansas farmer who, in 1897, swore that one of his cows was kidnapped (cow-napped?) by a UFO and was later found butchered.

This entire book, as stated above, closely follows the style of Aime Michel, to whom Vallee acknowledges his debt; this similarity goes all the way to the use of long humorous analogies to prove the author's point. It is written in a dispassionate, lucid style, although it is in parts on such an intellectual plane as to be a bit tedious to the reader who lacks a background either in the UFO field or the physical sciences.

Vallee reserves his strongest language for three parties: he berates his fellow scientists (he is a trained astronomer and a consultant to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration) for their wholly close-minded attitude to UFO's since the phenomenon became "tainted" in the late 1940's; he makes much of the limitations of the Air Force investigations in this area, making clear a point

long known by us at HIOUFO, but ignored by many UFO groups, that Air Force interest in the matter is limited only to its relevance to the security of the airspace of the nation: and the harsh words for private UFO groups, especially those in the United States. He states that very few private UFO groups have risen above a level he describes as "generally hideous."

This reviewer with seven years of background in UFO's, is frankly forced to admit that this book has little of value to the experienced researcher, save on some lesser, more specialized point; moreover, a person whose mind on UFO's is a tabula rasa could readily be lost by Vallee's presuppositions of familiarity with much of the basic material of this field. The book, however, is ideal for one who is a novice in ufology. Vallee makes a very strong case for the scientific respectability of the UFO, but I doubt this volume will be read by many most in need of his sage advice.

Alone among UFO books, Vallee makes an attempt at documentation. He has a 196-item bibliography to which he refers within the text by a confusing system of quasi-footnotes. The bibliography is extensive; however, much of it is material which is either yet to be published, or is out of print.

Jacques Vallee is the first new figure to publish a UFO book of substance in some years. By securing publication by a national publisher, Vallee has brought UFO's to the light of day in the nation's bookstores once again. He has other more specialized material in preparation which should raise him quickly to a high rank among serious saucer researchers.

210 pp., \$4.95.

"UFOs" Watch GT-4 ?

On June 3, 1965, GT-4 Pilot Major James McDivitt and U.S. Major Space walker Edward White were launched into orbit from Cape Kennedy.

Edward White in the opening orbits got out of the GT-4 and walked through space for 20 minutes, twice as long as Alexei Leonov did for the USSR.

In a letter from Major McDivitt to Hayden Hewes, dated April 22, 1965, Major McDivitt relates that he has seen a great number of peculiar lights and objects in the skies at night and even in the day. However, in almost every instance he was able to identify these objects. As for sighting an object that he for certain identify the shape and size of and not be able to explain, "I'm afraid I just haven't seen this sort of thing." But he added "However, I know that there have been many reports of UFO's, and I just cannot speak for the other people."

Major McDivitt sighted three objects while in space during the four day space flight.

McDivitt said the first object was a cylindrical object sighted over Hawaii. He took five frames of movie film of it, but officials said all it shows is a unidentifiable white dot with a tail of light and a fanlike glow. (Cover photo)

The GT-4 went into its 21st orbit at 6:55 p.m.

McDivitt spotted the second object during the 20th orbit, as the Spaceship was whirling across the United States.

Gemini control asked McDivitt: "You still looking at that thing up there?"

McDivitt replied: "I've lost it. It had big arms sticking out of it, it looked like."

He said he had only seen it for about a minute, and had taken pictures with a movie camera although the position of the sun prevented any recognizable photographs.

There was some speculation it was the Pegasus 2 meteoroid detection satellite launched May 25, 1965.

Space agency officials ordered an immediate check by space tracking agencies to see what the objects were.

The Air Force tracking center at Colorado Springs, Colo., which keeps track of man-made objects in space is currently following 1,391 objects. Object No. 1,390 is GT-4 and object No. 1,391 is its burned out second stage rocket booster.

The Pegasus 2 was about 1,200 miles from the GT-4. Dr. Dwayne Catterson, flight medical expert, said he did not know if an object within 1,200 miles could be identified by the human eye. "But certainly if the contrast was great enough between sunlight on the object and background he could see an object there and he might well see reflection giving shape from the arm."

The third sighting came on the 38 orbit, officials said McDivitt described the object which was sighted over China as looking like "a bright star moving fast." He did not attempt to make pictures of it. The space flight took them 62 times around the globe, a total of 1,609,684 miles.



NASA MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH

This photograph, made from 16mm movie film exposed by Astronaut James McDivitt, shows the satellite he observed on the 20th revolution of his four-day space flight.

McDivitt was over Hawaii when he sighted the object. He said the Gemini-4 spacecraft was turning and the sun coming across the window when he filmed the object.

McDivitt was using 16mm Eastman color film operating at six frames per second.

Kirkpatric Planetarium

Now being presented in the "Theatre of the Sky"

May-July 27 Evolution & Revolution. Story of astronomy, with its proofs and disproofs. Theories and superstitions. July 28-September 10 "Next Stop The Moon".

Public showings at 2:15 p.m. 3:30 p.m. and 7:45 p.m. Saturday, and 2:15 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. Sunday.

During the summer 2:15 p.m. Tuesday and Thursday.

GEMINI NEWS CENTER

Release No. 17
June 4, 1965

HOUSTON, TEXAS -- Analysis by Norad Spadat computational

facilities reveals the following earth satellites were within

1000 km (about 600 miles) of GT-4 Spacecraft at the time Astronaut

James McDivitt reported the satellite sighting:

Object Identification	Spadats Number	Time (CST)	Distance in Kilometers from GT-4
*Fragment	975	2:56	439
*Tank	932	3:01	740
*Fragment	514	3:04	427
Omicron Transit 4A	646	3:06	905
Omicron Transit 4A	477	3:07	979
*Fragment	726	3:09	625
*Fragment	874	3:13	905
Omicron Transit 4A	124	3:13	722
10x20 Foot Debris of Pegasus -- Shroud (A or B) not a work- ing part of Satellite 1385		3:16	757
Yo-Yo De-Spin Weight- 2' to 3'	167	3:18	684

Pegasus B at 3:06 (CST) was about 2000 km in the proper direction to be observed by the astronauts.

*4' to 6' in length down to 15" in length, 2' to 6" in width.

UFO SIGHTED IN THE ANTARCTIC
Argentine, British and Chilean military and scientific personnel in the antarctic watched what they called a curious celestial body for about 20 minutes on Monday, July 5, 1965.

Air Force headquarters released a copy of a report from the Air Force commander at Pedro Aguirre Cerda base in the antarctic saying that personnel there saw an object "glowing with a white light cruising in a north-east-southwest direction at a high rate of speed. The report said the object turned, flew a zigzag course and seemed at times to hang suspended in the air.

IIUFO Foreign Representatives investigating.

STRANGE OBJECT SEEN IN WATER, PHOTOGRAPHED?

A marine scientist planning to explore the gulf stream's depths says he detected and may have photographed a fast moving, unidentified object on the bottom of the warm-water stream that flows from the Florida Keys north to Newfoundland.

Capt. L. Jacques Nicholas, said Dr. Dmitri Rebikoff of Chicago conducts one-man submarine operations at 90-100 foot depths.

The pear-shaped object was sighted beneath the various schools of fish moving at approximately the same speed as the stream (3½ knots). At first from its size it was thought to be a shark, however its direction and speed were too constant. It may have been running on a robot pilot since no signals were received from it.

Efforts were made to take pictures. The film was not processed immediately.

IIUFO's East Coast Headquarters located at St. Petersburg and under the direction of Mrs. Joan Whritenour is conducting an investigation into the sighting. There was some speculation that it may have been a Russian submarine, since the area is a frequent route of heavy-instrumented Russian fishing and hydrographic vessels.

Interplanetary LLRV or Coleoptere

On April 24, 1964, at Socorro, New Mexico, Mr. Lonnie Zamora reported an object on the ground a mile south of the town at approximately 5:30 p.m. Lonnie Zamora said the object was white egg-shaped and supported on four girlerlike legs.

The Air Force sent investigators from Whight-Patterson AFB, Ohio, to Socorro. After a detailed investigation of the sighting, the following conclusion was released, "unknown". (Vol. 1, No. 1, May, 1965 IIR)

"It has been argued, and even categorically stated, that the Socorro object was not interplanetary, but very probably one of the experimental devices recently developed by the U.S. for the exploration of the moon and planets". (Taken from page 138 "Anatomy of a Phenomenon" by Jacques Vallee)

The Lunar Landing Research Vehicle (LLRV) will be used to study the piloting and operational procedures that will be involved during the initial portion of the lunar takeoff. The LLRV is slightly more than 10 feet high with four aluminum truss legs spread 13.39 feet. A General Electric CF 700 turbofan engine is attached to a gimbal system at the apex of the legs. The complete LLRV weights about 3,600 lbs. (See NASA photo).

On June 29, 1965, at Valensole, France, Mr Maurice Masse reported an object on the ground. The object was about the size of an automobile, shaped something like a rugby ball, supported on four crutch-like legs and a central pivot. (See clipping).

The IIUFO as well as French authorities are investigating. The results of which will be published in a future issue of the IIR.

French Investigate Phenomenon

A Flying Saucer Or A 'Beatle?'

The Washington Post
PARIS — A flying saucer landed at dawn Thursday on the plateau of Valensole, in the southeastern department of the Basses-Alpes, put one passenger aground, but scooped him up and took off again when disturbed.

Anyway, that's what farmer Maurice Masse, 41, the sole witness says.

On the basis of Masse's description to the local gendarmes, French scientists said that the only known phenomenon which his account coincides is the one which brings monsters up from the depths of Loch Ness and little men down from the skies about the season when news becomes scarce and temperatures high. It was a sultry oppressive day Thursday.

They also remarked that every similar report in the past—and there have been several circumstantial ones in France—had turned out later to be either a hoax or a hallucination.

However, the gendarmes took the story

seriously enough to make an official investigation and report on the traces left by the supposed landing. They described Masse as a sober, level-headed person, unlikely to deceive himself or others, and unlikely also to mistreat curious crowds to be tramping down the lavender in his field.

The general opinion among those in the region who don't know Masse is that he saw a military helicopter in the uncertain 5 a.m. light, since several of them are known to be maneuvering in the region. Masse apparently thought that's what it was, at first.

Then he saw it wasn't a helicopter. When reporters caught up with him Sunday, he corrected the gendarmes' earlier report of a landing. The corrected version is like this:

The flying object was the size of an automobile, shaped something like a rugby ball, supported on six crutch-like legs and a central pivot. A door slid aside, and a

human form appeared, about 3 feet high, fat, and dressed in what could have been a space suit, while at least one other person was discernible inside. (Masse was 60 or 70 yards from the object—far enough to account for error in judging the height of the picture he saw.)

As he approached, the figure on the ground scuttled back into the object, and it took off obliquely with a whistling sound.


Masse insisted that the object had no rotor and "looked like a spider." On the basis of this description, it is being suggested that what Masse saw was an improved version of the coleoptere, a vertical landing plane with which the French army is believed to have been experimenting in deep secrecy for several years. Coleoptere means beetle, and the craft, which lands on thin spidery-like legs, does look something like an insect.

There may be some discrepancy, since the gendarmes reported that the ground

where Masse said the object landed had been scooped out in a shallow depression with four cross-shaped prints and a central hole. The gendarmes said Masse had earlier reported four crutch-like legs instead of six.

But it may well have been the coleoptere. The army had been reported having difficulty with the craft, but if this is what Masse saw, success might seem to be closer.

This explanation seems strengthened by the military authorities' refusal to comment. If no military craft had made a landing in Masse's lavender field, it would seem reasonable that the army should say so. The fact that it refuses to talk is therefore being interpreted as evidence that Masse did see some secret military plane, which took off hurriedly at his approach because of the bush-bush nature of the craft.



Terra Space Explorations

Mariner 4 Photographs to Shed Light on Mystery of Mars

PASADENA, Calif. (AP) — One of the most exciting mysteries of space — does intelligent life exist, or has it ever existed on the planet Mars? — may be answered this week.

The U. S. spacecraft Mariner 4, now a record 131 million miles from earth and at last report functioning well, is scheduled to fly past Mars about 8 p.m. (Oklahoma time) Wednesday mapping pictures 100 times better than any ever made with telescopes.

Scientists say these photographs, outlining details as small as 1.5 miles in diameter, could show whether the long dark lines seen on Mars really are canals and whether the soil — like smudges at their intersections — are complexes of structures like our cities.

But the pictures must likely to show such details may not be released to the public for weeks or even months.

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, which built and is guiding Mariner 4 on its 228-day voyage, recently disclosed that all but the first one or two of the 18 to 21 pictures the spacecraft takes will be withheld for detailed analysis and interpretation.

There is always the chance, of course, that mounting pressure may force earlier release of pictures. Especially if word should leak out that the photographs, indicated as they may be contain evidence of tool-using beings.

Dr. Robert Leighton, California Institute of Technology physicist and chief of the team chosen to study the photographs, says the first one or two may show only the "limb" or edge of the planet, at the start of a 4,000-mile-long sweep from north to south. These would be taken from a height of about 1,000 miles, at an angle.

The left photograph, snapped 1,000 miles closer to the surface with the camera pointing nearly straight down, would be withheld from immediate publication.

If the first-released pictures are as meager as Leighton expects, about all that the world will glean immediately from the \$100 million mission program will be some scientific tidbits about the density of Mars' atmosphere and the intensity of radiation trapped in its magnetic field, if the planet has one.

That radiation, incidentally, may become a hazard in the final hours of Mariner 4's approach to Mars.

PASADENA, Calif. (AP) — The U. S. spacecraft Mariner 4 was less than a million miles from Mars Saturday night as it raced toward a photo-taking conference with the mysterious red planet four days hence.

Scientists at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory said Mariner was 120,825,510 miles from earth and 50,607 miles from Mars at 8 p.m. Oklahoma time. It was approaching Mars at a speed of 8,270 miles per hour.

Because Mariner 4 would be the first spacecraft to report from the vicinity of Mars — the radio on Russia's Venera 2, launched two days after the U. S. vehicle's takeoff November 20, failed weeks ago — no one knows whether Mars has a magnetically trapped zone of radiation like the so-called Van Allen Belt around earth.

Some scientists believe Mars' magnetic field could hold enough trapped radiation from the sun to confuse or damage Mariner's electronic control systems. With its aiming device blinded, the camera might be pointing in some other direction as it flies past Mars.

Mars' atmospheric density — a key clue in determining whether life could exist — will be measured by calculating changes in radio-signal strength as Mariner 4 swings behind the planet. The signal, aimed at earth, will have to go through the Martian atmosphere. The denser the atmosphere, the weaker the signal would be.

Mariner 4 will record its pictures on tape and replay them slowly after it emerges from behind Mars.

All the pictures will be taken within 20 minutes, but it will take 8.5 hours for each one to be transmitted. They will come in so slowly that only pieces of pictures will be received at each of three tracking stations around the earth. First piece of the first picture will be received at a station in Johannesburg, South Africa. As the Goldstone, Calif., station comes into view of Mars, it will receive most of the remainder. The final piece of the first picture may be received at another station near Woomera, Australia.

After all the pieces are received here from around the world, they must be pieced together and run through a device which filters static out of the signals. This could take hours.

It will take 12 minutes for a radio signal to travel from Mariner 4 to earth Wednesday, and another 12 minutes for a signal from earth to get back to the spacecraft.

If something were to go wrong during the fly-by, it would take at least 24 minutes for earth scientists to receive warning and transmit corrective commands — and that's all the time Mariner 4 has to take pictures.

Anticipating this possibility, scientists have decided to try to take over control early from the automatic timer now set to start the cameras when the spacecraft is 8,000 miles above the Martian surface. By direct command, they will start the cameras a few seconds later and this means the camera will photograph a different set of targets from a lower altitude, starting at a height of about 1,000 miles.

The spacecraft will be in the dark at its closest approach, about 5,000 miles. Best photographs should come about midway during the run, possibly over more illumination with camera pointing straight down from 6,000 miles and covering an area 120 miles square.

CIVILIAN UFO RESEARCH

OKLAHOMA CITY - AGE CENTER OFFERS A VARIETY OF STUDIES

The Oklahoma City New Age Center, located at 145 N.E. 14 Street, is operated as a non-profit corporation and is recognized as such by both the State and Federal governments.

Individuals seeking help with personal problems are always welcome and those who are experiencing difficulty in finding someone who can help them to understand experiences "outside" the five senses will find sensible answers to almost any mystical experience (Clairvoyance, Clair-audience, out of the body experiences, True dreams, and all forms of E.S.P. and mind over matter experiments. This would include, of course, UFO sightings and contacts.


Charles Rhoades, Director of the "Centers" activities, says he is surprised to learn that many of those who enter the New Age work, are led into it by an interest in "Flying Saucers". This interest, of course, when studied, overlaps into any number of other areas of study of the New Age. Consequently, anyone avidly seeking information about UFO's is exposed to these other fields of endeavor or learning, and usually develops a growing interest in them, in conjunction with his other efforts.

Among the other areas of the New Age, hypnosis seems to be the most popular, particularly with the newer groups, those who have just begun to study. On Monday evenings, meetings are held at the "Center", at which hypnosis, as deals with personal problems (desire to lose weight, to quit smoking, etc.), is discussed, utilized, and studied; and as time permits, experiments in time travel, Age regression, and other forms of E.S.P., are conducted.

AMERICAN — UFO COMMITTEE

The American UFO Committee (AMUFO) was founded about two years ago, for the express purpose of bringing new life and vigor to the UFO field. It is an active supporter of intergroup cooperation programs through the UFO group union, the United OUFlogical Association. It also pioneered and cosponsors the famed annual Congress of Scientific UFOlogists, to be held this year in Cleveland, Ohio. (The Second Congress of Scientific UFOlogist, was held June 26th and 27th, 1965)

AMUFO is one of the larger UFO groups in the U.S. our membership stretching across the nation and to 9 foreign countries AMUFO is one of the very few groups organized with a broad-framed multiple leadership, its leaders all being long - experienced UFOlogists. The membership fee of \$3.00 per year brings our professionally published REVIEW, plus all standard benefits. But standard benefits are only a beginning with AMUFO. Our goal is an active and vibrant, mature and reliable UFOlogy field. Everyone is invited to join our fight. Our editorial Director, Allen Greenfield, invites you to inquire care of our Atlanta Office, 2875 Sequoyah Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30327.



Two - UFOs Photographed

Taken from information received from photographer.

"The exact day the photo was taken is not remembered. It was, though, sometime during the first week of September, 1964.


We then lived at Black Hills Army Depot, Igloo, South Dakota. It was a very cloudy day with high winds, about 11 o'clock in the morning. My mother was looking out of the window, when she sighted two objects. From the position, of the objects above the trees, I would say they were about 15 degrees above the horizon. She then photographed the objects with a Brownie Hawkeye 620 camera. It was very stormy out, so she did not see the objects and does not know what direction they were traveling." August Powell, Flagstaff, Arizona. (State Director IIUOFO)

Read the AF evaluation of the photograph as well as Eastmans Kodak in September issue of the IIR.



"Just one drink and I see the strangest things".

International Headquarters



Among the other things in the
the most
personally with the best
group those who have just begun
in that of Monday evening
was the first of the night
which appeared as dark with
of people (about 100) who
of all smoking and in
and about 100 as they
other experiments in this
regarding and other terms of U.S.A.
the following